

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of this application in light of the above amendments and following comments is courteously solicited.

The examiner, in his last office action has employed the '136 document to Fenner as allegedly disclosing the features added to the claims in Applicant's last filed amendment. Particularly, the examiner has pointed at column 27, lines 12-46 of the '136 document.

Fenner '136 is quite different from the data processing system of the present invention as now claimed in amended independent claims 1, 2 and 8-10. The '136 document does not disclose either (1) a recording area managing means for recording the number of data recording areas assignable to each object in each of the one or more tables, and (2) wherein the specification means specifies the number of recording areas of the table to be accessed by checking said recording area managing means in response to the data accessing request.

It is respectfully submitted that the Fenner '136 document taken in combination with the other references cited by the examiner does not teach, disclose, suggest or render obvious the claimed subject matter of claims 1, 2 and 8-10. It is submitted that the examiner has combined a plurality of references in a manner which belies the "concept as a whole clause" of 35 U.S.C. 103. The examiner has chosen different features from different references and has combined them in a manner taught only by Applicant's instant disclosure. This is improper under 35 U.S.C. 103. The references are employed of the prerequisite teachings for making the combination as suggested by the examiner to meet the limitations of the claims as currently pending. Applicant submits that the claims as currently amended clearly define in patentable manner over the cited references.

The early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

An earnest and thorough attempt has been made by the undersigned to resolve the outstanding issues in this case and place same in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or feels that a telephone or personal interview would be helpful in resolving any outstanding issues which remain in this application after consideration of this amendment, the Examiner is courteously invited to telephone the undersigned and the same would be gratefully appreciated.

It is submitted that the claims as amended herein patentably define over the art relied on by the Examiner and early allowance of same is courteously solicited.

If any fees are required in connection with this case, it is respectfully requested that they be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-0184.

Respectfully submitted,
Takashi Ishizaka

By



Gregory P. LaPointe
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 28,395
Tel: (203) 777-6628
Fax: (203) 865-0297

Date: July 10, 2006

I, Rachel Piscitelli, hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
"Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313" on July 10, 2006.

